

American Physical Therapy Association

AC Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

June 7, 2012
Marriott Hotel, Tampa Florida

Present:

Leslie Portney, DPT, PhD, FAPTA	President
Terry Nordstrom, PT, EdD	Vice President (via conference call)
David L Somers, PhD, PT	Secretary
Nancy B. Reese, PT, PhD, MHSA	Treasurer
James R. Carey, PhD, PT	Director
Susan S. Deusinger PT, PhD, FAPTA	Director
Diane U. Jette, PT, DSc	Director
Thomas P. Mayhew, PT, PhD	Director
Barbara Sanders, PT, PhD, SCS, FAPTA	Director

Staff:

Lisa McLaughlin	Component Governance and Leadership Specialist
-----------------	--

Guests:

John Barnes	Chief Executive Officer, APTA
Janet Bezner, PT, PhD	Vice President, Education and Governance, APTA
Janet Crosier, PT, DPT, MEd	Lead PTA Services Specialist, APTA
Mary Jane Harris, PT, MS	Director, CAPTE
Jodi Frost, PT, DPT, PhD	Lead Academic Affairs Specialist, APTA
Peggy Gleeson, PT, PhD	President, Education Section, APTA
Corrie J. Odom, PT, DPT, MS, ATC	Co-Chair, CESIG, Education Section, APTA
Shawne E Soper, PT, DPT, MBA	Speaker of the House

A regular meeting of the Academic Council (AC) Board of Directors was called to order by President Leslie Portney at 8:33 AM (Eastern Time).

ESTABLISHMENT OF A QUORUM

Nine of nine board members attending, a quorum was present.

FINAL – PASSED (Mayhew)

V-1 That the minutes from May 12, 2012 Board of Director’s meeting be accepted.

Support Statement:

No corrections, objections or amendments were offered to the distributed minutes.

CLINICAL EDUCATION SUMMIT PREPARATION AT ELC

A number of decisions were made about the use of time at ELC devoted to preparing for the clinical education summit. With regard to the discussion, a series of assumptions or boundaries for the discussion were developed and additional questions were added to each of the major discussion categories. These are added in blue text to ELC discussion questions draft (Attachment 1). Please send Dave names of folks you believe might be good to have on the clinical education recommendation group. This is the group that will shepherd through the entire clinical education recommendations process (ELC, position papers, clinical education summit, and final recommendations).

APTA UPDATE REPORTS

John Barnes, Chief Executive Officer, APTA, updated the Board of Directors on a number of APTA. These included membership numbers, meeting attendance and financial status of the APTA. The board was also updated on progression of a new vision for the APTA, payment issues (reimbursement), government affairs, strategies for responding to healthcare reform, research initiatives and communications.

Janet Bezner, Vice President, Education and Governance, APTA updated the board on number of educationally related issues including: the Educational Leadership Institute, usage statistics on the CPI and using of CPI data for documenting CAPTE compliance and CSIF use. Dr. Bezner also provided updates about the interprofessional professional collaborative (IPC) and this group's desire for programs who participate in interprofessional education to volunteer for a pilot study to investigate an assessment tool that has been developed. Dr. Bezner also reported on the status of the transitional DPT survey and on the finances associated with the PTCAS which generated a net profit in 2011 of \$662,000 and \$228,000 in 2010.

CAPTE is exploring a new relationship with APTA, the final approval of which will be through the APTA BOD. Mary Jane Harris, Director, CAPTE, reported that the latest fact sheets (now entitled aggregate data) are available on CAPTE's website. The present criterion for 50% faculty to have an advanced doctorate degree and a scholarly agenda are being revisited by CAPTE in the context of the two year rule for probationary status.

Shawne E. Soper, Speaker of the House, updated the board on the progress of the APTA governance review process. Recommendations for governance changes made through a core group and subsequent sub groups were moved to the APTA BOD for consideration. The APTA Board divided the recommendations into those requiring additional investigation (e.g. academies) and those which they could presently move forward (e.g. communities of practice and councils). The AC would fall under the Council category in the new governance structure. The AC will attend the governance review discussion about sections because of our close affiliation with the Education Section.

INITIAL CONSORTIUM DISCUSSION AND CESIG

A brief discussion occurred regarding how the CESIG might become a possible AC Consortium. At issue was how would this structure be transformed into a consortium organizational model while still retaining their ability to communicate and meet together as they presently do. In addition, who will the actual members of the consortium be? The AC is very open to whatever model the present CESIG would consider to be in their best interest. It was decided that a work group comprised of AC, CESIG and Ed Section members should be formed to work out the details on how best to enable CESIG to accomplish its goals as a consortium (perhaps involving the cultural transformation task force of the AC).

TEMPORARY ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was temporarily adjourned at 10:49 AM for the board to attend the Mary McMillan lecture and reconvened at 12:10 PM.

PROCESS FOR PRESENTING MOTIONS TO THE ACADEMIC COUNCIL BOARD

The process designed by the structural integrity task force will be used. This being the first time motions are to be entertained, the deadline for submission will be extended to July 30. Motions will be distributed to AC following BOD review two weeks prior to ELC. Three communications will be generated (Lisa will modify the current existing letter for this purpose to be specific for each group): AC members, chapter and section delegates and the CESIG. A committee of the board formed by Nancy, Jim and Terry will review any received motions and decide which should be brought to the AC floor.

APPROVAL PROCESS FOR CONSORTIUM FORMATION

This process will be distributed to all AC members (should always be referred to as institutional member) and CESIG members. Any five AC institutional members can put forward a proposal for Consortium formation. Any application will be reviewed by a subcommittee comprised of the finance officer and two directors who will make a recommendation to the board. A number of editorial changes were suggested to the language of the process for clarification (e.g. always using institutional member to refer to AC member, recognizing that one institutional member/school may have multiple participants within a consortium, and distinguishing between institutional member and other participant).

IOM GLOBAL FORUM

This group is interested in a PT representation, but it will cost the AC \$15,000 per year and AC representative travel to attend the required conferences will also need to be funded. It was decided to reserve this amount in the budget and to decide at a later time whether or not to participate in this forum.

2013 BUDGET

The 2013 budget and several suggestions (IOM fees, consortium support and travel support) were made for additional items that will be reflected in the final budget. The budget will then be distributed to the finance committee and then back to the AC BOD. Funding issues surrounding the clinical education summit were discussed in detail and the BOD expressed concern that the process/funding be organized and perceived in a way that will promote endorsement of the summit findings. The budget will then need to be approved by the APTA BOD.

A voluntary assessment announcement will be sent to all schools and will ask schools to indicate whether or not they are still, or wish to be, members of the Council. The finance committee will discuss issues regarding revenue generated from school fees to the APTA. The budget will identify funds that are coming from our sequestered reserves.

A FEW ADDITIONAL ISSUES FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION.

A process within the AC for developing schools will be on the agenda for our next meeting. In addition, the timing of AC BOD meeting and AC institutional member meeting (allotting an appropriate amount of time) must be developed for CSM. The bylaw group may need to be reconstituted to help the Council address bylaw changes that may affect Council resources.

NEXT MEETINGS

Board of Directors

Conference Call

July 2, 2012

2:00 p.m.-5:00 p.m. (EDT)

Respectfully submitted,

Dave Somers

Secretary