

ACAPT Board Meeting

January 7, 2016, 3:00-4:30 PM (eastern)

Minutes

In attendance: Barb Sanders, Mark Reinking, Zoher Kapasi, Nancy Reese, Rick Segal, Gary Chleboun, Pam Levangie, Mike Sheldon, Scott Ward and Sandy Rossi.

Absent: Bob Rowe.

MINUTES

- Approval of December 3 Board Meeting minutes. APPROVED

CONSENT

- All consent reports were accepted
- FAL Minutes from 12.15.15
- Admissions Traffic Rules Reminder from PTCAS
- Website Review TaskForce Notes 12.16.2015

REPORTS

- Treasurer reported-
 - Most expenses from ELC 2015 have been accounted for but some are still outstanding
 - We are under budget and strong financially
 - We came under budget because the expenses prioritized for Clinical Education Task Forces etc have not been expended this year as there was delay in forming these task forces. We were waiting for the joint boards meeting before initiating this action
 - Discussed the need to build capacity to undertake several projects and will be discussed at CSM 2016 board meeting
- Diversity Task Force Meeting report-
 - Deferred full discussion of this report to CSM 2016 board meeting

DISCUSSION

- Decide whether to invite reps from the CAPTE re-accreditation task force to our Board meeting at CSM
 - Put it on agenda for the CSM 2016 board meeting and allocate appropriate time
- FASHP Membership Update-
 - FASHP will make a decision on our membership by March 31, 2016. Thus, no action yet on our part
- Proposal for funds to support a meeting of the Program Committee and Kathy Zalewski at CSM-
 - Approved
- Recommendation of Chair for Terminology Panel-
 - Mia Ericsson was selected by the board to be the chair

- Guidance on formation of Graduate Outcomes Task Force-
 - Seeking board's recommendation on steps to take for this task force to form and act to meet the objectives approved – Deferred action
- ACAPT History Proposal-
 - Sandy to get information from APTA staff if they can do this project, otherwise we will seek RFPs
 - If APTA staff can do this project then we may consider implementing at CSM 2016
- Funding for Susie Deusinger/Dennis Fell podcast of ELC presentation-
 - Approved with the proviso that Susie Deusinger submits a report to JOPTe for publication and that the podcast be linked to this publication on JOPTe's website
 - Approved future video recordings of ELC keynote talks
- Awards proposal-
 - Deferred until benchmarks are established and then do "Institutional Awards"
 - Deposit letters of appreciation in a common folder
 - Barb Sanders to write letters of appreciation for the Diversity Task Force members
- Education steering committee update-
 - Meet Gina Musolino at CSM 2016 on Saturday morning for breakfast
 - Barb Sanders to communicate with Gina and her entire Education section board about the meeting on the communities page.
- Decision on Interprofessional Education Collaborative (IPEC) membership-
 - Approved Support, application due on January 29, 2016
 - Sandy to report to Barb on how extensive is the application and work with her on it
- OpenArc update-
 - New Master Services Agreement
 - Support & Monitoring Options
 - Sandy recommends ACAPT select Tier 3 to cover a total of 120 hours of work (average 10 hours per month) for 2016 that covers general maintenance needs. New work needs would be separately proposed and invoiced as needed
 - Scott Ward, Sandy Rossi and Julia Chevan to review the new services agreement
 - Sandy to check with other sections/components on their website vendors and experiences
 - Approved to move forward, as needed, with a 6 month agreement
- Thoughts on moving the strategic plan forward-
 - This will be a priority at CSM 2016 board meeting
- Tentative topics for our CSM board meeting-
 - ELC 2015 financial results
 - ELC 2016 location
 - Moving strategic plan forward – Janet to facilitate a review?
 - Post-Summit 3 Panel volunteers selected, next steps
 - Mike discussion re: While the movement system might be a great internal organizing concept, I am now questioning the potential confusion this may create for the various external stakeholder groups with whom we interact....I think we should seriously think about the implications/perceptions of the new terminology and plans to educate external stakeholder groups about meaning and intent. My ongoing impression is that external groups continue to have a very limited understanding about what PT is...does this new terminology add to or eliminate that confusion?
 - Are there other topics you wish to include?
- Above topics Approved!